Arizona Supreme Court

Civil Petition for Review - Appeal

CV-24-0103-PR

GROVES v LAYTON et al

Trial

Dispo

Appellate Case Inf	ormation	☐ Dept/Composition	
Case Filed:	9-May-2024		
Case Closed:			

Judgment/Sentence

Side 1. GROVES PROPERTIES, LP, Plaintiff/Appellant

(Litigant Group) GROVES PROPERTIES, LP

Groves Properties LP

Attorneys for: Plaintiff/Appellant

Aaron C Huber, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 26273) Bryan W Barney, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 27270) Lynn M Allen, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 12612)

Side 2. LAYTON CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, LLC, et al., Defendant/Appellee

Cause/Charge/Class

(Litigant Group) LAYTON CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, LLC, et al.

1 CA-CV 23-0351

• Layton Construction Company LLC

Arizona Fastners Corporation

PREDECESSOR CASE(S)

1 CA

Attorneys for: Defendant/Appellee

Jodi L Mullis, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 24044)

Judge, Role <Comments>

₽ N	MAR CV2022	-050078 Breach of Contract	Melissa Iyer Julian, Authoring Judge of Order Comments: (none)		
		13 PRO	CEEDING ENTRIES		
1.	9-May-2024	FILED: [Stricken per 5/20/24 Order] Petition for Review; Certificate of Service; Certificate of Compliance; Memorandum Decision (Appellant Groves Properties)			
2.	9-May-2024	FILED: [Stricken per 5/20/24 Order] Appendix t	o Petition for Review; Certificate of Service (Appellant Groves Properties)		
3.	13-May-2024	FILED: Record from CofA: Electronic Record			
4.	17-May-2024	RECEIPT No.: 2024-00122; \$280.00, Authoriz Filing Fee (\$280.00) Paid for: GROVES PROP	ation: 8827575217422315, Applied to: GROVES PROPERTIES, LP - Class A ERTIES, LP - By nCourt LLC		
5.	20-May-2024	On May 9, 2024, Appellant Groves Properties, LP, filed a 4,696 word count Petition for Review. The petition for review is non-compliant pursuant to Rules 4(b) and 23(g), Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure. Therefore, IT IS ORDERED Appellant may file a petition for review that complies with the above referenced rules, consisting of 3500 words or less, or file a motion for extended pagination within 15 days of the date of this order. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED if a compliant petition for review or motion for extended word count/pagination is not received by June 4, 2024, this matter may be dismissed. (Tracie K. Lindeman, Clerk)			
6.	5-Jun-2024	FILED: Motion for Procedural Order to Extend ⁷ Groves Properties)	Fime to File Petition for Review; Certificate of Service; (Proposed) Order (Appellant		
7.	5-Jun-2024	FILED: Petition for Review; Certificate of Service	ee; Certificate of Compliance (Appellant Groves Properties)		
8.	5-Jun-2024	FILED: Appendix to Petition for Review; Certific	ate of Service (Appellant Groves Properties)		
9.	7-Jun-2024	a "Petition for Review." Pursuant to Arizona Ru the moving party of whether the other parties of the motion does not comply with this requirement	filed a "Motion for Procedural Order to Extend Time to File Petition for Review" and les of Civil Appellate Procedure 6(b)(1)(B), the motion must include a statement by consent to, or object to, the entry of the order that is sought. This Court notes that ent. Nonetheless, to file the petition for review. The petition for review shall be filed as of June 5,		
10	1 Jul 2024	Ell ED: Stimulated Mation for Extension of Time	to File Despays to Potition for Pavious Cartificate of Society (Appellage Louten		
10.	1-Jul-2024	Construction, et al.)	to File Response to Petition for Review; Certificate of Service (Appellees Layton		

Arizona Supreme Court

Civil Petition for Review - Appeal

CV-24-0103-PR

GROVES v LAYTON et al

13 PROCEEDING ENTRIES				
11.	3-Jul-2024	Appellees Layton Construction, et al., filed a "Stipulated Motion for Extension of Time to File Response to Petition for Review" on July 1, 2024. Pursuant to Arizona Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure, Rule 6(b), the caption of a motion for procedural order must include the words, "Motion for Procedural Order." Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED the motion is denied without prejudice to Appellee's ability to file a motion in compliance with ARCAP 6(b). (Tracie K. Lindeman, Clerk)		
12.	3-Jul-2024	FILED: Stipulated Motion for Procedural Order for Extension of Time to File Response to Petition for Review; Certificate of Service (Appellees Layton Construction, et al.)		
13.	8-Jul-2024	A "Stipulated Motion for Procedural Order for Extension of Time to File Response to Petition for Review" (Appellees Layton Construction, et al.) having been filed on July 3, 2024, IT IS ORDERED granting a first extension of time to file the response to petition for review on or before July 19, 2024. No further extensions of time shall be granted absent extraordinary circumstances. (Tracie K. Lindeman, Clerk)		